

# 1<sup>st</sup> CRISIS IN COSMOLOGY CONFERENCE, CCC–I Program

Monção, Portugal 23 to 25 June 2005

# **Sponsors**

The organizing committee is greatly indebted to the following entities that provided financial support in different ways:

米

Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal,

IAS/A Institute for Advanced Studies at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA,

dst

Domingos Silva Teixeira, Braga, Portugal,

### LUSO-AMERICAN

FOUNDATION Lisbon, Portugal,

### FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, INOVAÇÃO E ENSINO SUPERIOR Lisbon, Portugal.

# Scientific committee

- Eric Lerner
- José B. Almeida
- Yurij Baryshev
- Michael Ibison
- Eugene Savov
- Riccardo Scarpa



Courtesy of Região de Turismo do Alto Minho

# 1<sup>st</sup> CRISIS IN COSMOLOGY CONFERENCE, CCC–I Program

Monção, Portugal 23 to 25 June, 2005 This page is intentionally blank.

#### Franco Selleri

Università di Bari Dipartimento di Fisica Via Amendola 173 70126 Bari, Italy Email: Franco.Selleri@ba.infn.it

#### Glenn D. Starkman

Center for Education and Research in Cosmology and Astrophysics Department of Physics Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106, United States Email: starkman@balin.cwru.edu

#### **Tuomo Suntola**

Suntola Consulting Ltd. Vasamtie 25 02630 Espoo, Finland Email: tuomo.suntola@sci.fi

#### Francesco Sylos Labini

Enrico Fermi Center and Istitute for Complex Systems CNR Via dei Taurini 19, 00185 Rome, Italy Email: sylos@roma1.infn.it

#### J. Talbot

Department of Physics University of Ottawa Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada

#### Tom van Flandern

Meta Research P. O. Box 15186 Chevy Chase, MD 20825, United States Email: tomvf@metaresearch.org

#### Y. P. Varshni

Department of Physics University of Ottawa Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada Email: ypvs j@uottawa.ca

#### Mogens Wegener

University of Aarhus Hojmarkvej 1 8270 Hojbjerg, Denmark Email: mwegener@aarhusmail.dk

#### Huseyin Yilmaz

362 Harvard Street Cambridge, MA 02138, United States

### Contents

Schedule	4
Sessions	5
Welcome	7
(3-4) Mass boom versus big bang: an alternative model A. Alfonso-Faus	8
(3-18) Some theoretical and experimental facts which require going "beyond Einstein" with the replacement of general relativity by the Yilmaz curved spacetime gauge field theory of relativistic gravity C. Alley	9
(3-11) Geometric drive of the Universe's expansion J. B. Almeida	10
(1-5) Falsification of the expanding Universe model T. Andrews	12
(2-1) Conceptual problems of the standard cosmological model Y. Baryshev	13
(3-16) Physics of gravitational interaction: geometry of space or quantum field in space? <i>Y. Baryshev</i>	14
(3-1) <b>The big bang picture: a wonderful success of modern science</b> <i>A. Blanchard</i>	15
(2-4) <b>The Dyer-Roeder relation in a universe with particle production</b> <i>M. de Campos</i>	16
(3-15) Tommy Gold revisited G. Chapline	18
(2-2) The insignificance of current cosmology M. Disney	19
(P-3) <b>Implications of thermodynamics on cosmologic models</b> <i>A. M. Hofmeister and R. E. Criss</i>	20
(3-3) <b>The Yilmaz cosmology</b> <i>M. Ibison</i>	21

1

(3-13) Electromagnetic self-consistency, the zero-point field, and the cosmic mi- crowave background in the steady-state cosmology <i>M. Ibison</i>	22
(3-12) Low-energy quantum gravity leads to another picture of the Universe <i>M. A. Ivanov</i>	23
(1-3) Was there a decelerating past for the Universe? M. V. John	24
(3-10) Quantum-redshift: explanation of the Hubble law by non-linear optics C. Jooss and J. Lutz	25
(P-1) The evolution of the Universe in the light of modern microscopic and high- energy physics <i>C. Jooss and J. Lutz</i>	26
(1-4) New analysis of observed high redshift Supernovae data show no time dila- tion when fitted to restframe templates where the restframe template timescale is not dilated S. P. Leaning	
(1-6) Is the universe expanding? Tests of physical geometry E. J. Lerner	29
(3-2) An overview of plasma cosmology E. J. Lerner	30
(1-11) Spectroscopic constraints on the cosmological variability of the fine-structu constant S. Levshakov	ire 31
(1-2) <b>Research on candidates to non-cosmological redshifts</b> <i>M. López-Corredoira</i>	32
(P-2) Isotopes tell Sun's origin and operation O. K. Manuel	33
(3-9) <b>The parametric light-matter interactions in astrophysics.</b> J. Moret-Bailly	35
(3-8) Large-scale gravitational quantization states in galaxies and the Universe <i>F. Potter and H. G. Preston</i>	36
(3-5) Existing and unique firework universe and its 3D-spiral code E. Savov	37

Money V. John	Gianni Marconi
Moncy V. John Department of Physics	European Southern Observatory
St. Thomas College	Alonso de Cordova 3107
Kozhencherri	Vitacura
Kerala, PIN-689641, India	19001 Santiago, Casilla, Chile
Email: moncyjohn@yahoo.co.uk	Email: gmarconi@eso.org
Email: money joinneyanoo.co.uk	Linan. gmarconrees0.org
Christian Jooss	
University of Göttingen	Jaques Moret-Bailly
Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1	265 rue St. Jean
37077 Göttingen, Germany	F-21850 St. Apollinaire, France
Email: jooss@ump.gwdg.de	Email: Jacques.Moret-Bailly@
Eric J. Lerner	u-bourgogne.fr
Lawrenceville Plasma Physics	
11 Calvin Terrace	Frank Potter
West Orange, NJ 07052, United States	Sciencegems.com
Email: elerner@igc.org	8642 Marvale Drive
Course A. Tourshalana	Dept 1200
Sergei A. Levshakov	Huntington Beach, CA 92646-5112,
Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute Polytekhnicheskaya 26	United States
194021 St. Petersburg, Russia	Email: drpotter@lycos.com
Email:	
lev@astro.ioffe.rssi.ru	
10/@45010.10110.1551.14	Howard G. Preston
Martin López-Corredoira	Preston Research
Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias	15 Vista del Sol
C/.Vía Láctea, s/n	Laguna Beach, CA 92651, United States
La Laguna	
E-38200 Tenerife, Spain	Eugene Savov
Email:martinlc@iac.es	Solar-Terrestrial Influences Laboratory
Josef Lutz	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Chemnitz University of Technology	Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Block 3
Reichenhainerstr, 70	1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Weinhold-Bau Zi, H 123	Email:
09126 Chemnitz, Germany	eugenesavov@mail.orbitel.bg
Email: josef.lutz@etit.	
tu-chemnitz.de	
	Riccardo Scarpa
Z. Ma	European Southern Observatory Alonso de Cordova 3107
National Astronomical Observatories	
Chinese Academy of Sciences	Vitacura
100012 Beijing, China	19001 Santiago, Casilla, Chile Email: rscarpa@eso.org
Oliver K. Manuel	Linan. ISCarpa@es0.019
Chemistry Department	
142 Schrenk Hall	Donald Scott
University of Missouri	9468 E. White Wing Drive
Rolla, MO 65401, United States	Scottsdale, AZ 85262, United States
Email: om@umr.edu	Email: dascott2@cox.net

### Authors' addresses

#### Antonio Alfonso-Faus

E.U.I.T. Aeronáutica Madrid Politechnical University 28040 Madrid, Spain Email: aalfonsofaus@yahoo.es

#### **Carrol Alley**

University of Maryland Department of Physics College Park, MD 20742, United States Email: coalley@physics.umd.edu

#### José B. Almeida

Universidade do Minho Departamento de Física Campus de Gualtar 4710-057 Braga, Portugal Email: bda@fisica.uminho.pt

#### **Thomas Andrews**

3828 Atlantic Ave Brooklyn, NY 11224, United States Email: tba@xoba.com

#### Alain Blanchard

Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Tarbes et Toulouse OMP - CNRS - UMR 5572 14 Avenue E. Belin F-31400 Toulouse, France Email: alain.blanchard@ast. obs-mip.fr

#### Yurij Baryshev

Institute of Astronomy Saint-Petersburg State University Staryi Petrgof Universitetskij pr.28 198504 St. Petersburg, Russia Email: yuba@astro.spbu.ru

#### M. de Campos

Physics Department Universidade Federal de Roraima Campus do Picarãna Boavista, RR., Brasil Email: campos@dfis.ufrr.br

### George Chapline

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 7000 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550, United States Email: chapline1@llnl.gov

#### R. E. Criss

Department of Earth & Planetary
Science
Washington University
St. Louis, 63130 MO, United States

#### Mike Disney

School of Physics and Astronomy Cardiff University CF24 3YB Cardiff, United Kingdom Email: mike.disney@astro.cf.ac.uk

#### Roberto Gilmozzi

European Southern Observatory Alonso de Cordova 3107 Vitacura 19001 Santiago, Casilla, Chile Email: rgilmozz@eso.org

#### Anne M. Hofmeister

Department of Earth & Planetary Science Washington University St. Louis, 63130 MO, United States Email: hofmeist@wustl.edu

#### Michael Ibison

Institute for Advanced Studies at Austin
4030 West Braker Lane, Suite 300
Austin, 78759 TX, United States
Email: ibison@earthtech.org

#### Michael Ivanov

Belarus State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics Physics Dept. 6 P. Brovka Street BY 22027 Minsk, Belarus Email: ivanovma@gw.bsuir.unibel.by

(1-1) Modified Newtonian dynamics as an alternative to non-baryonic dark mat- ter <i>R. Scarpa</i>	39
(3-7) Using globular clusters to test gravity in the weak acceleration regime <i>R. Scarpa, G. Marconi, and R. Gilmozzi</i>	40
(1-9) <b>Real properties of magnetic fields and plasma in the cosmos</b> D. E. Scott	41
(2-3) Absolute simultaneity forbids the big bang F. Selleri	42
(1-7) Is the low- $\lambda$ microwave background cosmic? G. D. Starkman	43
(4-1) <b>Differentiating between modified gravity and dark energy</b> <i>G. D. Starkman</i>	44
$(3\mathchar`-6)$ Back to the basics – observations support spherically closed dynamic space $T.$ $Suntola$	45
(1-8) Non-linear structures in gravitation and cosmology F. Sylos Labini	47
(P-4) <b>Common absorption lines in two quasars</b> <i>Y. P. Varshni</i>	48
(P-5) <b>Peaks in emission lines in the spectra of quasars</b> <i>Y. P. Varshni, J. Talbot and Z. Ma</i>	49
(1-10) <b>The top problems with the big bang: the case of light elements</b> <i>T. van Flandern</i>	50
(3-14) Kinematic cosmology M. Wegener	51
(3-17) The planetary perturbative part of the perihelium advance of the planet Mercury H. Yilmaz	53

Authors' addresses

54

### Schedule

1		1		
	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	22/6	23/6	24/6	25/5
9:00		Opening session Almeida, Lerner	(2-1) Baryshev	(3-9) Moret-Bailly
9:30		(1-1) Scarpa	(2-2) Disney	(3-10) Jooss
10:00		(1-2) López-Corredoira	(2-3) Selleri	(3-11) Almeida
10:30		(1-3) John	(2-4) Campos	(3-12) Ivanov
11:00		Coffee	Coffee	Coffee
11:30		(1-4) Leaning	(3-1) Blanchard	(3-13) Ibison
12:00		(1-5) Andrews	(3-2) Lerner	(3-14) Wegener
12:30	Pick up bus	(1-6) Lerner	(3-3) Ibison	(3-15) Chapline
13:00		Lunch	Lunch	Lunch
14:30		(1-7) Starkman	(3-4) Alfonso-Faus	(3-16) Baryshev
15:00		(1-8) Sylos Labini	(3-5) Savov	(3-17) Yilmaz
15:30		(1-9) Scott	(3-6) Suntola	(3-18) Alley
16:00		Coffee	Coffee	Coffee
16:30		(1-10) van Flandern	(3-7) Scarpa	(4-1) Starkman
17:00		(1-11) Levshakov	(3-8) Potter	Open discussion
17:30	Pick up bus	Discussion	Discussion	
18:00	Registration	Poster session	Poster session	Closure

# (3-17) The planetary perturbative part of the perihelium advance of the planet Mercury

### H. Yilmaz

As is well known, the planetary advance where  $\lambda$  is related to the field equations as

of Mercury has two parts: 1) The 523" per century planetary perturbative part, and 2) the 43" per century relativistic test-body part. Observation yields a single number Here  $t^{\nu}_{\mu}$  is the gravitational field stress-575" which is the sum of the two. It is found that the sum can be represented as

energy tensor.<sup>1</sup> Thus the observed result, 575" per century, implies that the field equations of general relativity be modified with value  $\lambda = 1$ . This remarkable conclusion is explained in detail.

 $\frac{1}{2}G^{\nu}_{\mu}=\tau^{\nu}_{\mu}+\lambda t^{\nu}_{\mu}$ 

 $\dot{\tilde{\omega}} = 532"\lambda + 43"$  per century

The expression of  $t^{\nu}_{\mu}$  is  $t^{\nu}_{\mu} = -\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\nu}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\partial^{\alpha}\phi\partial_{\alpha}\phi$  where  $\phi$  is an N-body potential  $\phi_A = \sum_B m_B/|x_A - x_B| + C$ .

- which undoubtedly are valid for all FP, and approximating the same state. members of the substratum, but which for well as for those between AP alone.

Blow, starting with a "bang" and approx- and Guy. imating a steady state, and 3) a new model

metry. This deviation explains why the LT of a Gentle Flow, starting with a "whimper"

The difference between the old SSequivalent particles are easily transmuted model of Bondi & Gold and the one here into the classical Galileo Transformations presented is crucial since the new model im-(GT) - may be invalid for the transforma- plies a relativistic crowding effect which altion of coordinates between FP and AP, as lows the new SS-model to simulate the increase of density with distance displayed by If the Relativity Principle (RP) of the (evolving) standard BB-model. In this Poincaré and Einstein does not hold be- model there is no horizon separating a fitween AP, nor between AP and FP, but nite visible universe from an infinite invisonly between FP, the situation in cosmol- ible one. By accepting a Cosmic Time as ogy is very similar to that envisaged by T.E. its very foundation, our new model natu-Phipps and F. Selleri, where not the en- rally incorporates the classical idea of an tire SR, but only its -factor, is valid for the absolute and universal simultaneity (referaccelerated motion of test particles (AP). ring to Newtonian coordinates) as well as Identifying RP with CP, and exploiting the the modern idea of the local relativity of -factor in new ways, the paper concludes by simultaneity (relating to Einsteinian coorsuggesting Three World Models of Contin- dinates). So it easily accomodates all eviued Creation: 1) a new Steady State model, dence counting in favour of an absolute siavoiding the number count difficulty facing multaneity (aberration, Sagnac effect, GPSthe old one, 2) a new model of a Heavy signals) as argued by Phipps, Hatch, Selleri,

#### Sessions

Session 1: Observations challenging the present model

Panel 1: Discrepancies in dark matter observations (1-1) Scarpa.

Panel 2: Disparate redshifts (1-2) López-Corredoira.

Panel 3: Geometry and age of the Universe (1-3) John, (1-4) Leaning, (1-5) Andrews, (1-6) Lerner.

Panel 4: WMAP and CBR (1-7) Starkman.

Panel 5: Structure formations (1-8) Sylos Labini, (1-9) Scott.

Panel 6: Light element abundances and other observational contradictions (1-10) van Flandern.

Panel 7: Invariance of physical constants (1-11) Levshakov.

Session 2: Conceptual difficulties of the present model (2-1) Baryshev, (2-2) Disney, (2-3) Selleri, (2-4) Campos.

Session 3: Alternative models

Panel 1: Alternative cosmological world-views (3-1) Blanchard, (3-2) Lerner, (3-3) Ibison, (3-4) Alfonso-Faus, (3-5) Savov, (3-6) Suntola.

Panel 2: Alternatives to dark matter (3-7) Scarpa, (3-8) Potter.

Panel 3: Alternative explanations of the Hubble relation (3-9) Moret-Bailly, (3-10) Jooss, (3-11) Almeida, (3-12) Ivanov.

Panel 4: Alternative explanations of the CMB (3-13) Ibison.

Panel 5: Alternative theories of gravity and relativity (3-14) Wegener, (3-15) Chapline, (3-16) Baryshev, (3-17) Yilmaz, (3-18) Alley.

Session 4: Proposed observational tests and open discussion (4-1) Starkman.

**Poster session:** (P-1) Jooss, (P-2) Manuel, (P-3) Hofmeister, (P-4) Varshni, (P-5) Varshni,

### (3-14) Kinematic cosmology

#### M. Wegener

tific program that derives from the British solute simultaneity. tradition in relativistic cosmology, repre-Walker and G.J. Whitrow.

more basic than gravitational dynamics.

Walker soon generalized Milne's ideas

Kinematic Cosmology (KC) is a scien- brated dissolution of classical time and ab-

Whitrow - famous for his monumensented by the names of E.A. Milne, A.G. tal Natural Philosophy of Time, Whitrow (1967/1980), that furthered the founding Milne developed his Kinematic Relativ- of International Society for the Study of ity (KR) in direct opposition to Einstein's Time (ISST) - first served as Milne's assistheories, viz., that of Special Relativity tant with important contributions to KR, but (SR), and that of General Relativity (GR), later deserted his master by surrendering to Milne (1935) & (1948). By placing the the prevailing paradigm of Einstein. How-Lorentz Transformations (LT) firmly in a ever, by his acute analyses of the concepts cosmological context from the beginning he of time in relativity theory and relativisavoided the artificial distinction between a tic cosmology, by his thoughtful comparispecial theory without gravity and its gen- son of those concepts to the idea of a preeralisation. Milne's ingenious proposal was established harmony as conceived by the to view gravitation as a local consequence great philosopher and mathematician G.W. of universal expansion, instead of seeing it Leibniz (1646-1716), and by his interesting as a brake on that expansion: in this way derivation of RWM from the famous -factor he obviates the need for reviving. By this of SR, he prepared the way for a coming remove relativistic kinematics is taken to be naissance of KR in a more general context as KC.

The present paper goes a further step toin a series of papers: first by showing how wards developing a genuine KC by taking Milne's world model of uniform expan- CP, and thereby the assumption of a Cosmic sion could be replaced by a general met- Time, as its point of departure. The Idea of ric, the so-called Robertson-Walker Met- a Cosmic Time which pervades the universe ric (RWM), which encompasses an infinity as a cosmic rhythm (Whitrow) is latent in of world models subject to the principle of the tacit assumption of GR that atoms of the Cosmic Isotropy, often called the Cosmo- same kind always oscillate with the same logical Principle (CP) - and then by demon- natural frequency in zero-field space. CP strating how KR could be expanded into implies a distinction between a privileged a complete relativistic dynamics for time equivalence class of fundamental particles & 3-space, thus avoiding the combrous 4- (FP), called the substratum, which taken tospace geometries of Minkowski and Rie- gether define the general structure of the mann characterising Einsteinian SR and universe as one of perfect symmetry, and a GR. With RWM, the Newtonian idea of a class of non-equivalent accidental particles Cosmic Time is in fact restored against the (AP), not belonging to the substratum and spirit of Einstein and in spite of his cele- in various ways deviating from that sym-

# (1-10) The top problems with the big bang: the case of light elements

### T. van Flandern

The Big Bang theory has never achieved a true prediction success where the theory was placed at risk of falsification before the results were known. It is instead a series of accommodations of existing observations aided by a variety of ad hoc helper hypotheses, the best known of which are "dark matter" and "dark energy". A decade ago, a list of the top 10 problems with the theory seemed to encapsulate the situation. That list of problems has since expanded twice, and now stands at 50. We will discuss the light element abundances problems in some detail, and mention a few of the more remarkable recent additions to the problems list.

Contradictions with light element predictions include:

- 1. Observed deuterium abundances are inconsistent with observed <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>7</sup>Li abundances. Attempts to explain this have fallen flat.
- quasars produces problems.

3. Be and B are thought to be secondary elements from supernovae produced by spallation. However, the Be abundance in at least one metal poor star is greater than spallation allows.

Another outstanding problem is that there is too little time to form large scale structures, especially those existing at high redshift: The time required to form voids in the early universe was not available in BB models. A string of perhaps thousands of galaxies at 10.8 billion light years distance (in a 13.7 Gyr old universe) is too large to have formed that quickly in any existing models. Nor is there evidence of the enormous

evolution that should have occurred in a 14 Gv-old universe: Gamma ray bursts at high redshifts indicates that star formation rate remains constant even for z > 10. Supernovae during the last 11 Gyr seem to have had no significant effect on average metal abundances.

It should be evident to objective minds 2. D/H near the Galactic center is 5 or- that nothing about the universe interpreted ders of magnitude higher than pre- with the Big Bang theory is necessarily dicted. Either value measured for right, not even the most basic idea in it that the universe is expanding.

### Welcome

it was. Working over the internet with my sion. colleagues from the Organizing Committee

ference in the campus of Universidade do in Moncão a profitable one. That will be Minho (UM), my university, but suddenly the best incentive for the organizers of next I could not resist bringing everybody to vear's CCC-II.

Braga, May 6, 2005. José B. Almeida

Accepting the invitation to host this Monção, to the Museum House, still on conference was both a challenge and a plea- UM's grounds. The target number of parsure. It all started in the discussion group ticipants indicated that a large room was not initiated by Eric Lerner as a follow up of needed and a rural location would favour inthe open letter published by New Scientist; teraction and group discussions. Besides, I offered the North of Portugal as a possible Monção is a lovely village, which made me venue, not expecting that this would even- confident that people would enjoy the stay; tually become the elected choice. I am glad only time will tell if this was a wise deci-

As we get ready to receive participants, was a very enriching experience and I am authors or just attendants, I wish to exsure we established long lasting bonds that press to everyone, in the name of the Orwill continue to be productive as years pass. ganizing Committee, a warm welcome and At startup I intended to host the con- a sincere desire that they will find their stay

### (3-4) Mass boom versus big bang: an alternative model

#### A. Alfonso-Faus

In an effort to advance a first step in the well justified because it explains many of condition that they must be unlocalizable in cases: the Universe. Also they have negative energy that is emitted, in each quantum one by one, from every fundamental particle with gravitational properties. From here one gets a picture for the emitting positive masses that imply that their masses increase linearly with the cosmological time. In particular, the mass of the Universe M is equivalent to its age t, and to its gravitational entropy S, (i.e. M = t = S), in a certain system of units that convert many fundamental laws to very simple relations. This is the Mass Boom model, which we have published elsewhere under various points of view. The resultant cosmological model is identical to the one that Einstein initially proposed: a static, finite, curved and unlimited model.

The Hubble interpretation of the red shift as indication of an expanding Universe is here seen in a different way: we consider our LAB systems not to be absolute ones. If the Universe is static, as Einstein first saw, then the Hubble observations must be interpreted as a proof of the local shrinkage of the quantum world, instead of an expanding Universe. This new view is very

long journey to harmonize Einstein's Gen- the problems that have plagued the standard eral Relativity and Quantum Mechanics, we model (the big bang). It also eliminates the interpret the gravitational field as a sea of need for additions/corrections to the stangravity quanta. We calculate the value of dard model like the addition of "inflation". the mass of these quanta by imposing the We can enumerate the following 7 typical

- 1) The age of the Universe problem.
- 2) The horizon problem.
- 3) The flatness problem.
- 4) The entropy problem.
- 5) The monopole problem.
- 6) The fine tuning problem.
- 7) The dynamo paradox between galaxies.

And our model presents the following definite predictions:

- a) The Universe must have a decreasing speed of light, as c = 1/t, (time being also quantized, with the first instant of time being t = 1). There is experimental evidence (from Australian astronomers) that this law is in fact observed.
- b) We get definite values for the pressure of quanta with w = 1 (p = $w \times \text{energy density}$ ), and for the deceleration parameter q = -0.5.

# (P-5) Peaks in emission lines in the spectra of quasars

#### Y. P. Varshni, J. Talbot and Z. Ma

We report on a rather remarkable and In the redshift hypothesis there is no rea- hot plasma contacts this colder gas. son why the emission lines in the observed these peaks.

the atom, and this can lead to laser action. often in guasar spectra.

This led Varshni (1975, ApSS 37, L1; surprizing result in the distribution of emis- 1977, ApSS 46,443; 1979 Phys.Canada sion lines (in the observed frame) in the 35,11) to propose that a quasar is a star spectra of quasars. We converted to ob- in which the surface plasma is undergoing served frame 14277 rest frame emission rapid radial expansion giving rise to poplines listed in the Hewitt and Burbidge ulation inversion and laser action in some (1993) quasar catalog. When a histogram of the atomic species. The assumption of is plotted with frequency of an emission the ejection of matter from quasars at high line against the wavelength, 37 very strong speed is supported from the fact that the peaks are found. We were further surprised widths of emission spectral lines observed to find 27 of these 37 lines in the spectra in quasars are typically of the order of 2000 of Wolf-Rayet stars. An additional 5 lines - 4000 km/sec. The ejected matter can form are seen in novae like stars. Further, one a nebulosity around the quasar or dissipate more line is possible in Wolf-Rayet stars. into space. Laser action is enhanced if the

No redshifts are needed. This model frame should show these peaks. Thus the is called the plasma-laser star (PLAST) redshift hypothesis is unable to account for model. Most of the observational evidence on quasars either supports this theory or Theoretical and experimental investiga- is consistent with it. The existence of the tions in physics in the 1960's and 1970's wavelength peaks can be readily understood showed that when a high temperature on this theory. It is known that some atomic plasma rapidly expands (for example, in transitions are more susceptible to laser acvacuum) the resulting cooling leads to a tion than others. The peaks correspond to population inversion in the lower levels of such transitions and such lines occur more

### (P-4) Common absorption lines in two quasars

Y. P. Varshni

3.8.

The redshift hypothesis can not explain atomic species.

spectral lines observed in guasars are typ- are quite similar.

We have found that in the absorption- ically of the order of 2000 - 4000 km/sec. line spectra of two quasars, 0237-233 and The ejected matter can form a nebulosity HE 1122-1648 there are a large number around the quasar or dissipate into space. of common lines in the observed frame Laser action is enhanced if the hot plasma (earth frame). The number of common lines contacts this colder gas. No redshifts are in the interval 3716-4116 AA is 64 while needed. This model is called the plasmathe expected number from the chance- laser star (PLAST) model. Most of the obcoincidence theory is only 49.7 plus/minus servational evidence on quasars either supports this theory or is consistent with it.

If we consider two stars which belong these coincidences. On the other hand, to the same spectral class or to very neighthese coincidences can be readily under- bouring spectral classes, for example two stood on the basis of a theory of quasars A2 type stars or one A2 type star and the proposed by us (1975, ApSS 37, L1: 1977, other A3 type star, then they have very ApSS 46,443; 1979 Phys.Canada 35,11) ac- many common absorption lines. This arises cording to which a quasar is a star in which because in the two cases the plasma where the surface plasma is undergoing rapid ra- the absorption is occurring is very similar dial expansion giving rise to population in- in the two cases. In our theory of quasars version and laser action in some of the the absorption is occurring in the extended atmosphere of a star, much like a shell star. The assumption of the ejection of matter from quasars at high speed is supported of lines in 1122-1648 and 0237-233 is ocfrom the fact that the widths of emission curring because the shells of these two stars

# (3-18) Some theoretical and experimental facts which require going "beyond Einstein" with the replacement of general relativity by the Yilmaz curved spacetime gauge field theory of relativistic gravity

### C. Allev

perspectives.

of the gravitational field stress energy. Each done by hand by Huseyin Yilmaz. treats relativistic gravity as curved spacecoefficients are different.

is **included** as a source of curvature General Relativity: Gravitational stress-

ried by the field stress energy there are system.

There are many paths to the establish- no interactive N-body solutions to the field ment of the Yilmaz theory of relativistic equations of general relativity. This means gravity as must be true for any correct the- that the Newtonian correspondence, with ory. This talk will be a sequel to the talk by the all-important equality of action and re-Huseyin Yilmaz at this conference and will action, is missing in general relativity, even complement that talk by including paths not in weak gravitational fields. This is a disascovered by him for lack of time and by em- trous consequence for a theory purporting phasizing important points from different to describe gravity. This strong conclusion has been verified by numerous symbolic The difference between the Yilmaz the- computer calculations, including the repetiory and general relativity is in the treatment tion of many lengthy calculations originally

In addition to the failure or general reltime but the field equations for the metric ativity to describe correctly the observed advance of the perihelion of Mercury, as Yilmaz Theory: Gravitational stress-energy emphasized by Huseyin Yilmaz in his talk, there are other more recent experiments which require interactive N-body solutions energy is **excluded** as a source of curvature for their correct descriptions. These include As a result of this exclusion from the several in which the present author has been field equations, gravitational field stress- actively involved: the lunar laser ranging energy is a coordinate artifact in general rel- measurements, the flying of atomic clocks ativity whereas in the Yilmaz theory it is in aircraft and the observed relativistic bea true tensor. Since interactions are car- haior of clocks in the global positioning

### (3-11) Geometric drive of the Universe's expansion

#### J. B. Almeida

The validity of any theory and its use- cal entities and formulate the equations refulness stem from the correctness of the sulting from space symmetries and other predictions it allows; this is an unquestion- space properties; these equations shall be able truth for all physicists and for the pub- the same as we encounter in physics. In lic in general. The elegance of a theory, previous work [1] it was shown that hyperhowever, is usually associated to a small bolic 5-dimensional space, also known as number of principles or postulates and to a 5-dimensional space-time, can generate 4small set of mathematical equations, even dimensional space without a metric by the if these turn out mathematically intricate condition of null displacement. This 4D and difficult to solve. This has been the space acquires a metric by promoting one case with General Relativity (GR) for many of the coordinates to interval; depending on years, a theory which many physicists see the choice of coordinate one can obtain eias the paradigm of elegance. In spite of the ther the usual GR space or an Euclidean 4D unescapable validity of GR in celestial me- space designated as 4-Dimensional Optics chanics and laboratory experiments the sit- (4DO). Mapping of geodesics between the uation is not as clear in cosmology. The two spaces can be done for all static metfrustration of all known attempts to unify rics, as was shown in the cited work; it is GR with Quantum Mechanics and the Stan- not clear at present if the same operation is dard Model of particle physics is another possible in some cases for non-static metmotivation for many serious people to burn rics, although it seems very likely that it their evelashes in the search of some alter- is not. However, many interesting cases in native way of formulating a new all encom- GR are governed by a static metric and we passing theory.

In this work I will discuss geometry under the assumption that a well chosen geometry will allow, one day, the derivation of all the equations of physics from purely geometrical relations. This is, to a great extent, a question of personal faith

can easily analyse these in 4DO to gain a different perspective. Einstein's equations cannot be applied in 4DO and a suitable replacement was proposed in the cited paper, which leads to similar results in many cases

The purpose of this presentation is to without too much evidence to support it at show how 4DO can be used to explain a flat the present time, but enough to motivate rate expansion of the Universe under zero my continued search. If my assumption mass density. When one of the coordinates that physics is born out of geometry is true, of 4DO is associated with the radius of an then what we have to do is start off with hypersphere this coordinate takes the physthe appropriate space, make the correct as- ical meaning of proper time and flat rate exsignments between coordinates and physi- pansion becomes a direct consequence of

but not in extreme ones.

### (1-8) Non-linear structures in gravitation and cosmology

#### F. Sylos Labini

scribing some of the basic statistical meth- questions raised by these results. ods for their characterization. I explain that

I will first give a brief overview of the despite a similar power-law two-point corstate of observations of large scale structure relation function characterising both cases, in the distribution of galaxies in the Uni- the fluctuations may in fact be qualitatively verse, and also of the main theoretical in-very different in nature, and I report obstrument - gravitational N-body simulation servational evidence that this is indeed the - used to explain their origin in standard case. Particularly I will comment about recosmological models. I will then discuss cent results on galaxy correlations obtained the principal properties of the "non linear" from the SDSS data. I conclude with a structures encountered in both contexts, de- discussion of some of the open theoretical

expansion in zero energy mode, the age es- tion derived for the magnitude versus redtimates obtained by radioactive dating are shift of a standard emission source gives a reduced due to the higher decay rate in the perfect fit to recent supernova observations young expanding universe (the decay rate is without an assumption of dark energy [8]. inversely proportional to  $t^{1/3}$ ). The predic-

### References

- 1. Tuomo Suntola, Theoretical Basis of the Dynamic Universe, ISBN 952-5502-1-04, 292 pages, Suntola Consulting Ltd., 2004,www.sci.fi/~suntola
- 2. Tuomo Suntola, Universal Order in Absolute Time, Colloquium "Human Approaches to the Universe" in the University of Helsinki, Finland, 26-27 September 2003.
- 3. Tuomo Suntola, Dynamic space converts relativity into absolute time and distance, PIRT-IX, "Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory IX", London 3-9 September 2004,
- 4. Tuomo Suntola, Observations support closed spherical space, preprint, "Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory" Moscow, July 2005
- 5. Tuomo Suntola, Observations support spherically closed dynamic space with-

out dark energy, preprint, SPIE Optics & Photonics 2005 Conferences, Special Program SP200 "The Nature of Light: What is a Photon?", San Diego, July 31 -August 4, 2005

- 6. Tuomo Suntola, Photon the minimum dose of electromagnetic radiation, preprint, SPIE Optics & Photonics 2005 Conferences, Special Program SP200 "The Nature of Light: What is a Photon?", San Diego, July 31 - August 4, 2005
- 7. Einstein, A., Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akad. d. Wissenschaften (1917)
- 8. Tuomo Suntola and Robert Day, Supernova observations fit EinsteindeSitter expansion in 4-sphere, http: //arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/ 0412701

sian coordinates at the expense of a sim- ing subject for further work. ple interpretation of their significance. I will also discuss the influence of non-zero mass density to show that an accelerated expansion is to be expected. This conclusion can be reached independently of the set of equations used to find the metric of space with uniform mass density. Schwarzschild's metric is PPN equivalent to the exponential metric proposed in both cited papers and consequently it is irrelevant which one is chosen if only first order approximation is envisaged.

Dark matter has been postulated not only to explain the rate of expansion in

geometry. The basic principles involved the Universe but also to account for the inhave been explained in another paper [2] credible orbital velocities found in spiral but the formulation is now cleaner than the galaxies. This is a subject which cannot original one. The usual 3 spatial coordi- be properly addressed in this short presennates are then associated with arc lengths tation. Galaxy dynamics is a difficult subon the hypersphere surface. The metric of ject which I have not investigated properly Euclidean 4-space in hyperspherical coor- but, also in this case, the postulate of 4DO dinates is dependent on the hypersphere ra- in connection with an hyperspherical Unidius (proper time) which precludes its di- verse seem to provide a qualitative explanarect mapping into a GR metric: mapping tion for the observations. I will give a brief would be possible by resorting to Carte- indication of what may become an interest-

### References

- [1] J. B. Almeida, The null subspace of G(4,1) as source of the main physical theories, in Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory – IX (London, 2004), physics/0410035.
- [2] J. B. Almeida, An hypersphere model of the Universe – The dismissal of dark matter, 2004, physics/0402075.

### (1-5) Falsification of the expanding Universe model

### T. Andrews

This talk presents observations and log-spreads the total luminosity over a longer the expanding universe model.

alous dimming. However, from observa- the galaxies. tions of two independent sets of brightest is ruled out by a logical argument.

space - can not be responsible for the anomalous dimming. With these arguments as curves.

by a factor of (1 + z). Since this broadening verse is static rather than expanding.

ical arguments leading to a falsification of time period, the apparent luminosity at the observer is decreased by the same factor. It is well known that type Ia supernovae This effect accounts quantitatively for the show a significant anomalous dimming rel- anomalous dimming of supernovae. On the ative to a flat expanding universe model. It other hand, no anomalous dimming occurs was expected then that the brightest clus- for galaxies since the luminosity of galaxter galaxies (each defined as the brightest ies remain nearly constant over time periods galaxy in a cluster) should also show anom- much longer than the light travel time from

Since the expanding universe model cluster galaxies, it is quite clear that neither currently predicts an independent light set of brightest cluster galaxies shows any broadening effect due to time-dilation, two anomalous dimming. The lack of anom- light curve broadening effects are prealous dimming might be expected to be due dicted for supernovae (and one for galaxto luminosity evolution but this explanation ies). However, Goldhaber (preprint astroph/0104382) observed only one light curve Furthermore, because the light from the broadening effect for supernovae. Because supernovae and the galaxies traverses the Goldhaber's result directly contradicts the same space, the anomalous dimming must prediction of two light curve broadening efbe specific to supernovae. In particular, the fects, the expanding universe model is logcurrent explanation of the anomalous dim- ically falsified. Then, following the scienming - an acceleration in the expansion of tific method, the expanding universe model must be rejected.

However, the existence of a new broada clue, the cause of the anomalous dim- ening effect for supernovae and the correming of supernovae was traced to the rela- sponding absence of a broadening effect for tively short duration of the supernovae light galaxies is consistent with the static universe model. Consequently, a static uni-Based on a Fourier analysis of the light verse is hypothesized. Because this hypothcurve at a supernova, the Hubble redshift of esis is confirmed observationally by surface the Fourier harmonic frequencies is shown brightness tests of each set of brightest clusto broaden the light curve at the observer ter galaxies, it is quite certain that the uni-

# (3-6) Back to the basics – observations support spherically closed dynamic space

### T. Suntola

available in the early 1900's.

In dynamic space the rest energy of matserver. The concept of proper time in rel- in space. ativity theory is replaced by a direct effect of motion and gravitation on the characteristic emission and absorption frequencies of atomic objects, thus creating a direct link to quantum mechanics.

due to the zero energy balance; the fourth in distant space. As a consequence of the

The description of space as the surface dimension of true metric nature allows of a 4-sphere expanding in a zero-energy closed mathematical solutions of perihebalance between the energies of motion and lion advance, the bending of light, and the gravitation allows the conversion of Ein- Shapiro-delay. Further, it extends the vasteinian spacetime in varying time and dis- lidity of celestial mechanics to local singutance coordinates to dynamic space in ab- larities in space. In dynamic space a point solute coordinates [1-6]. In such an ap- source of electromagnetic emission can be proach, space as the surface of a static 4- studied as a dipole in the fourth dimension: sphere proposed by Einstein in 1917 [7] By solving Maxwell's equations, the energy is replaced by the surface of a dynamic of a quantum can be identified as the en-4-sphere, which is how the description of ergy of one cycle of radiation emitted by a space and time most probably would have single transition of a unit charge in a point been formulated if Edwin Hubble's obser- source [6]. Electromagnetic resonators apvations, or at least if atomic clocks and pear as closed energy systems - as a conrecent supernova observations, had been sequence, Michelson-Morley type experiments in moving frames show a zero result.

Instead of a sudden appearance in a big ter appears as the energy mass has due to bang, the buildup and release of the rest enthe motion of space in the direction of the ergy of matter is described as a zero en-4-radius of the structure and, as a conse- ergy process of motion and gravitation of quence of the conservation of the zero en- spherically closed space from infinity in ergy balance, the velocity of light in space the past through singularity to infinity in becomes fixed to the velocity of space in the future. The basic form of matter apthe fourth dimension. Motion *in* space be- pears as formless dark matter. Conversion comes related to the motion of space, and of formless matter to electromagnetic radithe local reference at rest becomes related ation, elementary particles and structured to the state of rest of the local energy sys- material can be understood as a secondary tem instead of the state of an inertial ob- energy buildup process in local singularities

As a consequence of the conservation of energy in interactions in space, the orbital radii of local gravitational systems expand in direct proportion to the expansion of the 4-radius of space resulting in, for example, Local space near mass centers is tilted the Euclidean appearance of galactic radii

### (4-1) Differentiating between modified gravity and dark energy

G. D. Starkman

tal theory, revealing modifications of grav- law.

The nature of the fuel that drives today's ity at scales typically much smaller than cosmic acceleration is an open and tantaliz- today's horizon. We discuss how through ing mystery. We entertain the suggestion these modifications, the growth of denthat the acceleration is not the manifestation sity perturbations, the late-time integrated of yet another new ingredient in the cos- Sachs-Wolfe effect, and even solar-system mic gas tank, but rather a signal of our first measurements may be sensitive to whether real lack of understanding of gravitational today's cosmic acceleration is generated by physics. By requiring that the underlying dark energy or modified gravitational dygravity theory respects Birkhoff's law, we namics, and are subject to imminent obsercan derive the modified gravitational force-vational discrimination. We argue that these law necessary to generate any given cos- conclusions are more generic, and probably mology, without reference to the fundamen- not dependent on the validity of Birkhoff's

# (2-1) Conceptual problems of the standard cosmological model

#### Y. Baryshev

Davis & Lineweaver (2003, astro- astro-ph/9912074) ph/0310808) recently revived an old discusisotropic universe defines global Friedmann tions are quantum ones. force which exactly equals to Newtonian Astrophys. Transaction, v.19, pp.417-435, gr-qc/9912003).

It is emphasized that such surprising sion on the nature of cosmological redshift features of SCM as galaxies flying away in the Big Bang model, because in many pa- with v > c and continuous disappearance pers it was misinterpreted as the Doppler of the energy of hot gas and radiation from effect. Actually this misinterpretation has the Universe to nowhere, are direct conseits roots in poorly defined physics of ex- quences of applying the geometric gravity pansion of space, which is not experimen- theory (general relativity) to cosmological tally tested yet. There are several espe- scales. These paradoxes arise from the long cially spectacular puzzles of the standard standing energy problem of general relativcosmological model (SCM) related to the ity (GR): it is well known (see e.g. Landau expanding space: 1) recession velocities & Lifshitz: The classical theory of fields, of galaxies can be much more than veloc- 1971, p.304) that in GR there is no satisity of light; 2) cosmological redshift is not factory concept of energy-momentum tendue to the Doppler effect; 3) global gravita- sor of the gravity field. It also relates to tional redshift exists in homogeneous mat- the fact that GR is not a quantum theory. ter distribution; 4) Friedmann equation in while all other theories of physical interac-

This is why it is important in cosmolforce; 5) energy content of any comoving ogy to consider alternative gravity theories ball of matter (with nonzero pressure) is which are free from such surprises and concontinuously changing during expansion of sistent with other physical interactions. A space. A review of conceptual problems good candidate for such alternative gravof the SCM was done by Baryshev, Sylos ity theory is Feynman's quantum field ap-Labini, Montuori, Pietronero (1994, Vis- proach to gravitational interaction which tas in Astronomy, v.34, pp.419-500, astro- describes gravity as usual material tensor ph/9503074) and Baryshev (2000, Astron. field in Minkowski space (Baryshev 1999,

# (3-16) Physics of gravitational interaction: geometry of space or quantum field in space?

#### Y. Baryshev

Modern cosmological models are based one it was shown by Baryshev (1999, grmological reseach.

a presentation of gravity field as a rela- tum principles. tivistic quantum field in Minkowski space 1971).

Inspite of desire of many physicists to waves from supernova explosions. reduce the field approach to the geometrical

on particular solutions of gravitational field qc/9912003) and Straumann (2000, astroequations, e.g. Friedmann model is a so- ph/0006423) that these theories are prinlution of Einstein equations for homoge- cipally different though up to now all reneously distributed matter. This is why the ally tested relativistic gravity effects can not gravity physics should be in focus of cos- distinguish between them. Main conceptual difference between these approaches The main problem of the physics of is that in the field gravity theory there is the gravitational interaction is to understand well-defined energy-momentum tensor of nature of gravity. Starting from the begin- the gravity field, while in general relativity ning of 20th century two opposite views there is no tensor characteristics of the enon the nature of gravity were proposed by ergy of gravity. Also GR is not a quantum Poincare and Einstein. The first one is theory but field approach is based on quan-

Feynman's quantum field approach to with gravitons as mediators of the grav- gravitation opens new understanding on itational interaction, and now it is called the physics of gravitational interaction and Thirring-Feynman field approach to grav- stimulates novel experiments on the nature itation (Thirring W., 1961, Ann. Phys., v.16, of gravity. Laboratory and astrophysical exp.96; Feynman et al. "Feynman Lectures periments which may test the predictions on Gravitation", Perseus Books, 1995). The of the field approach, will be performed in second one is the description of gravity as a near future. In particular, studies of motion geometrical property of curved space-time of binary pulsars may test the equivalence itself, and it is widely known as general rel- principle for rotating bodies and observaativity (Einstein 1915; Landau & Lifshitz tions at modern gravitational observatories will check the predicted scalar gravitational

# (1-7) Is the low- $\lambda$ microwave background cosmic?

### G. D. Starkman

tory. But the microwave background ra- mic, with important consequences.

The Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy diation on large angular scales seems to Probe (WMAP) has measured the fluctua- have some rather bizarre statistical propertions in the microwave background radia- ties. Not only is there a lack of "low- $\lambda$ tion over the entire sky at impressive angu- power", but the low- $\lambda$  modes are aligned lar resolution and signal to noise. This al- with each other and with the geometry of lows us to investigate the properties of the the solar system. This suggests that the reuniverse on the largest scales - it's geom- ported microwave background fluctuations etry, topology, thermal and expansion his- on large angular scales are not in fact cos-

### (2-3) Absolute simultaneity forbids the big bang

F. Selleri

Lorentz transformations are obtained for a could resemble the universe we observe. particular  $e_1 = 0$ . No standard experiment of starlight and the clock paradox.

ferent velocities. Seen globally the cosmos *big bang* never happened!

According to Reichenbach, Jammer and would be an irregular structure composed of Mansouri-Sexl, the Lorentz transforma- an empty central region, the "crater of the tions contain a purely conventional term, explosion", an intermediate region containthe coefficient of x in the transformation of ing the galaxies and an external part contime. Reconsidering the whole matter I re- taining only radiation. Whatever our poformulated the transformation of the space sition might be in the intermediate region, and time variables between inertial frames we would see a vault of heaven very difand obtained the "equivalent transforma- ferent from the basically isotropic one distions" containing an indeterminate term,  $e_1$ , closed by the great telescopes. No structure the coefficient of x in the transformation in three dimensional space, born from an of time ("synchronization parameter"). The explosion occurred 10-20 billion years ago,

As a result, all theoretical *big bang* on relativity depends on  $e_1$ , but if acceler- models introduce a fourth dimension. We ations are considered the conceptual situa- should then stress that from a conceptual tion is modified to the point that absolute point of view these models have a very unsimultaneity  $(e_1 = 0)$  becomes necessary. stable equilibrium, based as they are on the We will recall four experiments (real or four dimensional space of general relativity, gedanken) whose explanation requires  $e_1 =$  in turn derived from the Minkowski space 0. In all of them accelerations play a role, of the TSR. Thus the *big bang* depends one way or another: the linear nonuniform heavily on the mixing of space with time of motion of two spaceships, the propagation the TSR. In other words, it is in great danger of light on rotating platforms, the aberration if one modifies the fourth Lorentz transformation. But this is exactly what we did by An often used method for providing an adopting the transformations with  $e_1 = 0$ intuitive understanding of the *big bang* is and giving up the Lorentz transformations! the analogy between the universe and the With  $e_1 = 0$  time is independent of space surface of an inflating rubber balloon cov- and a conception of reality is introduced in ered with dots, with the proviso that the which no room is left for a four dimensional real world is, however, the three dimen- space. Forced by the experimental evidence sional surface of a four dimensional sphere. to reappropriate a space with three dimen-The use of the four dimensions is essen- sions, we conclude that the *big bang* theory tial. In fact, in ordinary three dimensional cannot be true. No structure of three dimenspace the big bang would be a great ex- sional space, originating from an explosion plosion producing matter, throwing it in all 10-20 billion years ago, could represent a directions and generating galaxies with dif- universe similar to the one we observe. The

### (3-1) The big bang picture: a wonderful success of modern science

A. Blanchard

years have offered a remarkable list of ob- rich branch of modern physics.

During the XXth century a scientific servational verifications of the predictions picture of the universe and its history has of the standard picture, on the basis of well emerged on the basis of the "Primeval established physics. During the last twenty Atom", the original proposition of Georges five years a more revolutionizing picture Lemâitre. Indeed, I will show during this has emerged: essential pieces of informareview that modern cosmology is a scien- tion for fundamental physics are obtainable tific theory, and as such does not pretend from cosmology. Although definitive conto provide the "Truth", but a framework in clusions are obviously more uncertain, this which predictions are possible and can be approach is still a fully scientific path which confronted to observations for possible fal- past successes have been remarkable and alsification in Poper sense. The last forty low to consider cosmology as a new and

# (2-4) The Dver-Roeder relation in a universe with particle production

#### M. de Campos

Cosmology has been, for a long time, a not only an explanation for the cosmologperimental data.

its expansion and its matter distribution, as well as gravitational lens occurrence statistive reasoning in Cosmology has been con-finitive solution. siderable reduced.

Among the most interesting recent results, are the supernova IA type data, obtained at the end of the 1990s, which gave support to the hypothesis that our universe has an accelerated expansion.

These observations lead to a revival of the cosmological constant, as well as to new proposals for candidates able to generate a negative pressure, for example, quintessence.

According to some of these hypothesis, vacuum contribution.

component for the cosmological fluid gives matter one.

fertile ground for speculation. The choice ical acceleration, but also eliminates the between competing theories was very diffi- age problem of the universe, which in the cult due to the small amount of reliable ex- standard model is smaller than the one obtained for the age of the globular clusters. Things have changed, however, in the The estimate for the age of the universe last decades. The quantity of experimen- depend upon the value of the Hubble contal results relating to the age of universe, stant. If the value of  $H_0$  is near the upper limit obtained by Freedmann et al. ( $H_0 =$  $80 \,\mathrm{km/s/Mpc}$ ) and considering the usual tics and related subjects, has grown to such standard model  $(H = \frac{2}{2t})$ , we get some "rea extent that the room left today for specula- lief" for the age problem, although not a de-

> The model with particle production (OSC) provides also a reasonable fit with respect to kinetic tests, like luminosity distance, angular diameter and the number counts of galaxies versus redshift relation and in the radiation-dominated era (photon creation) the model can be compatible with present day isotropy and the spectral distribution of Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation.

The inclusion of  $\Lambda$  solves the age of the universe would have, beyond its usual the universe puzzle, but at the expense of barvonic matter content and dark matter, creating a new one, the so-called cosmoalso a negative pressure-generating content, logical constant problem. The conciliation a kind of dark energy that represents the between a very large value for this constant, predicted by quantum field theory, One of the attractive features of the hy- and a small one or zero, can be obtained pothesis of particle production is that it if we consider the cosmological term timetherefore relates the large-scale properties dependent or quintessence models. In spite of the universe to atomic phenomena. On of, these models cannot explain why the the other hand, the introduction of this new dark energy density is comparable with the

# (1-9) Real properties of magnetic fields and plasma in the cosmos

### D. E. Scott

Milky Way called "The Snake" as having plained. rigid magnetic connections to a (presumed)

proposed investigations based on demon- that will resolve this contradiction. strably false physics are worthless. So this

Fundamental disagreements about the disagreement must be resolved. Many asproperties and behavior of magnetic fields trophysicists also claim that magnetic fields exist between many modern astronomi- are "frozen into" electric plasma. We excal hypotheses and the experimentally ver- amine the basis for this claim. It has ified laws of electrical engineering and been shown to be incorrect in the laboraphysics. Solar astronomers claim that mag- tory. The oft-pronounced "magnetic reconnetic fields begin on or beneath the Sun's nection" hypothesis of solar astronomers is surface and extend outward to infinity. Cos- reviewed in light of both theoretical and mologists have attempted to explain the experimental investigations. The cause of twisting object observed at the center of the filamentation in plasma is also simply ex-

Recently astrophysicists have been disrotating molecular cloud at each end. Elec- covering (inventing) hypothetical entities trical engineers, most physicists, and histor- and forces at an increasing rate. They have ical investigators in electromagnetic theory done so with impunity because these entidisagree. Magnetic fields have no begin- ties are not falsifiable - no in situ experining or end - and field aligned (Birkeland) ments are possible in remote space. But, currents in arc mode plasma twist. There is when experimentally verified laws of elecbasic disagreement about this basic physics. trical science that have been used success-Since these two viewpoints are mutually fully for decades are disregarded or misinexclusive, both cannot be correct - one must terpreted, it is time to present a challenge be completely false. Any theories and/or to initiate a dialog between the two camps

# (3-7) Using globular clusters to test gravity in the weak acceleration regime

### R. Scarpa, G. Marconi, and R. Gilmozzi

Non-baryonic Dark Matter (DM) apgesting MOND is telling us something im- with MOND predictions. portant about gravity in the weak field limit.

below  $a_0$ .

Here, we present the results of the study pears in galaxies and other stellar struc- of three globular clusters. The novelty is tures when and only when the accelera- that we were able to trace the velocity distion of gravity, as computed considering all persion profile of these clusters far enough baryons, goes below a well defined value from the center to probe gravitational accel $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{cm}\,\mathrm{s}^{-2}$ . This fact is ex- erations well below  $a_0$ . In all three clustremely important and is also at the ba- ters the velocity dispersion is found to resis of the MOdified Newtonian Dynamics main constant at large radii rather than fol-(MOND) that posits a breakdown of New- low the Keplerian falloff. On average, the ton's law of gravity (or inertia) below  $a_0$ . flattening occurs at the radius where the Observations do agree with MOND predic- cluster internal acceleration of gravity is tion in an impressive number of cases, sug-  $1.78 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-8}$  cm s<sup>-2</sup>, fully consistent

Though it is still possible to find ex-Irrespectively of the validity of MOND, planations of our observations within the it is important to verify whether Newton's boundaries of Newtonian dynamics (e.g., law of gravity holds below  $a_0$ . In order the constant velocity dispersion might be to do this, one has to study the dynam- due to tidal heating), the conclusion of this ics of objects that does not contain signif- work is that a striking similarity between icant amounts of DM. In this case, the dy- the dynamical properties of elliptical galaxnamic should follow Newton's prediction ies, explained invoking DM, and globular for whatever small accelerations. Globular cluster is emerging. More and more ne tunclusters are believed, even by strong sup- ing is necessary to account for all these "coporters of DM, to be free from DM and incidences", making more naturale to think therefore are ideal for testing Newton's law to a breakdown of Newton's law of gravity below  $a_0$ .

ertheless, quite different.

the exact solutions of the Dver-Roeder the inhomogeneities. equation, considering a homogeneous and

As an alternative model for the universe, isotropic universe where particle producwe can introduce a cosmological particle tion occurs at the expense of gravitational production term, resulting in a scenario that field energy. We discuss the influences of can mimic the effects generated by the in- inhomogeneities in the path of a light beam clusion of  $\Lambda$ . The physics involved is, nev- on the apparent diameter of astrophysical objects and consider both redshift indepen-In this work we are going to study dent and redshift dependent distributions of

### (3-15) Tommy Gold revisited

G. Chapline

as to why gravitational collapse leads to an scale invariant spectrum at large scales.

Understanding gravitational collapse re- explosion. An indirect consequence is that quires understanding how  $10^{58}$  baryons can the reverse process - creation of matter from be destroyed in  $10^{-5}$  seconds. The recent vacuum energy - should also be possible. proposal of Bob Laughlin and the speaker Indeed this process may be responsible for that the endpoint of gravitational collapse is both the "big bang" and the formation of a "dark energy star" entails supposing that cosmic voids. In this new picture of cosbaryons are converted to vacuum energy mology the observable universe began as a when one gets near to conditions where fluctuation in an otherwise steady state uniclassical general relativity predicts that a verse. The fluctuations in the CMB are not trapped surface would form. The negative the result of inflation but quantum turbupressure associated with a large vacuum en- lence. This has the advantage that there is ergy prevents a trapped surface from form- a natural explanation for both the level of ing, and resolves the long-standing puzzle CMB fluctuations and the deviation from a

# (1-1) Modified Newtonian dynamics as an alternative to non-baryonic dark matter

#### R. Scarpa

pear always below a certain threshold. This the need of dark matter.

By the time, in 1937, the Swiss as- systematic, more than anything else, tells us tronomer Zwicky measured the velocity we might be facing a failure of the law of dispersion of the Coma cluster of galaxies, gravity in the weak field limit rather then astronomers got somehow use to the idea the effects of dark matter. In an attempt to that the universe is filled by some sort of avoid the need for dark matter, of the many invisible matter. After almost a century of modification of the law of gravity, several investigations, we have learned two things of which have already been proved wrong, about this invisible matter. (i) it has to be the most successful is the MOdified Newnon-baryonic, that is, it is made of some- tonian Dynamics. MOND posits a breakthing new that interact with normal matter down of Newton's law of gravity (or ineronly by gravitation and (ii) that mass dis- tia) below  $a_0$ , after which the dependence crepancies are observed in stellar systems with distance became linear with an asympwhen and only when the internal acceler- totic value of the acceleration  $a = \sqrt{a_0 q}$ , ation of gravity falls below a fixed value where q is the Newtonian value. Despite  $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$ . From point (i) we many attempts, MOND resisted stubbornly get that dark and normal matter can mix in to be falsified as an alternative to dark matany ratio to form the objects we see in the ter and succeeds in explaining the properuniverse, and indeed observations show that ties of an impressively large number of stelthe relative content of dark matter varies lar systems without invoking the presence dramatically from object to object. This of non-baryonic dark matter. This suggests is in open contrast with point (ii). Indeed, MOND is telling us something important there is no reason why normal and dark about gravity in the weak field limit. In this matter should conspire to mix in just the talk. I will review the basics of MOND and right way for the mass discrepancy to ap- its ability to explain observations without

oscillating structure. Stars move around ters of stars and planets, accounting for the galactic nuclei. Atoms move around cen- rotation of these space bodies.

### References

1. Savov, E. Magnetic Storm-substorm Relationship and Some Associated Issues, 2005, http://arxiv.org/

abs/physics/0501048

2. Savov, E. Theory of Interaction, Geones Books, 2002.

### (2-2) The insignificance of current cosmology

M. Disney

I compare the number of truly indepen- tached to the good fits which impress condent measurements that have been made, ventional cosmologists. I go on to show that and which are relevant to current cosmol- this same worrying situation has existed ogy, with the number of free parameters throughout the modern era of cosmology, available to the theory. The difference be- as the number of free parameters has extween these numbers is controversial, but panded to accommodate the new data. This is certainly less than 5, and may be as expands and updates my" The Case against low as 1. In either case it can be argued cosmology"[General Relativity and Gravithat there is little statistical significance at- tation, 32, 1125, 2000. astro-ph 009020]

### (P-3) Implications of thermodynamics on cosmologic models

A. M. Hofmeister and R. E. Criss

tic effects yields  $mc^2 = GMm/r$ , as is also mochim Acta. 65, p. 4077). required by conservation of energy. Using radius r = c/H, where H is Hubble's con-linked with time. In that sense, omitting stant provides  $M = 2 \times 10^{53}$  kg in agree- the uncompensated heat in the standard cosment with extrapolating density. From this mological models is tantamount to divorcequation, stellar burning requires contrac- ing time from evolution. It should come tion, as is observed among our local group as no surprise that strange phenomena, such of galaxies, or that c, H, or G vary with as the big bang where density is infinite at time.

tion (CMBR) does not require a big bang, ther require reversibility.

The Universe is an isolated system but instead is the white cavity radiation of with constant mass-energy. The second the dusty Universe. CMBR is blackbody law requires that its entropy must increase emissions from dark matter that is warmed over time, i.e., the Universe is irreversible, to 2.7 K by radiative transfer from the stars; yet standard cosmological models presume this balance of flux is required by the zeroth isentropy. Entropy production due to ex- law of thermodynamics. The luminosity Lpansion of the Universe is calculable and of the Universe, which equals  $-c^2 dM/dt$ , negligible, but enormous entropy is created is equated to the energy radiated by the dark in as matter is converted to energy and irre-matter at 2.7 K:  $L = 4\pi r^2 s T^4$ , where s versibly transferred from hot stellar interi- is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. The enors to cold dark matter. Previous omission tropy change in an isolated system is related of entropy production from the cosmolog- to the uncompensated heat: dS = dQ/T. ical equations is the source of reversible- These equations and the fact that heat is time and has led to the misconceptions that produced by stellar burning lead to the imthe Universe is expanding and that a big portant relationship: dS/dt = L/T. At bang is necessary. Instead, the evolution of the present time the entropy of the Unithe Universe is guided by irreversible mass verse is therefore increasing at a rate close loss through stellar burning. Specifically, to  $7 \times 10^{47}$  Joules/(sec  $\times$  deg). One means the mass of the Universe (M) is contained of visualizing this increase is the converwithin an event horizon. For a hypotheti- sion of ordered matter to high frequency lucal test particle of rest mass m to escape the minous energy, emanating in a radial fashmass horizon, its kinetic energy must equal ion from the stars, to the totally disorits gravitational binding energy, and the es- dered, low frequency, 2.7 K cavity radiation cape velocity is c. Accounting for relativis- (Criss and Hofmeister, 2001, Geochim Cos-

Entropy production is therefore closely creation, is predicted by equations that not Cosmic microwave background radia- only improperly account for time, but fur-

# (3-5) Existing and unique firework universe and its 3D-spiral code

E. Savov

The discovery of normal galaxies and indicates hierarchical, fractal like, dynamic, heavy elements at the fringes of the observ- 3D-spiral structure of existing and unique able universe is one of the sources of cri- "firework universe", considered in theory of sis in cosmology. The real universe un- interaction [2 and references therein]. The folding is essential for progress in all sci- "firework universe" is singularity free, selfentific fields because the origin of chemi- consistent and complete [2]. It shows that cal elements and space bodies creates the similar laws of physics describe self-similar framework for understanding of everything. 3D-spiral transforms of one all-building in-The big bang universe is believed to origi- teraction that has 3D-spiral code [2]. The nate from a single point, called singularity, values of seen as fundamental physical con-It is unknown why and how it remains fi- stants originate in the process of observanite. Singularity makes big bang picture in- tion, performed in the cyclic "firework unicomplete because the laws of physics be-verse", in which observer is born [2]. Dark fore big bang and universe evolution are matter, comic repulsion and the surprising uncertain. Once a fundamental flaw is al- similarity between the near and most distant lowed, i.e. universe born from an uncer- universe are explained [2]. Observer in the tain cause from something that can be infi- "firework universe" will measure constant nite, then deep problems follow. For exam-speed of light, will obtain inverse square ple, the origin of matter-antimatter asym- laws and principle of uncertainty [2]. One metry and density fluctuations accounting basic matter attracts itself by moving 3Dfor structure buildup are poorly understood, spirally faster inward. It over spins and The big bang is confused by found sur- bounces back, ejecting like fireworks simprising similarity between near and most ilar finite sources of interaction that do the distant cosmos. In the big bang universe same [2]. Quantitative assessments made in more than 90% of matter has unknown theory of interaction terms: 1) indicate obnature. Everything is interaction. Then servation of constant speed of light; 2) conthe pattern of interaction explains every- firm the ratio between masses of Sun and thing. It creates what we see as matter, Earth and 3) are in agreement with the enigspace and time. The pattern in which it matic sunward force that acts on Pioneer remains always finite and generates the fi- 10 and 11 spacecraft. Simply speaking, nite sources of reality accounts for many the discovered existing and unique "firepuzzling observations. This unifying 3D- work universe" is made of multi-scale nuspirally-faster-inward-oscillating pattern is clei. The smaller nuclei are ejected from discovered from spacecraft and ground ob- the insides of finite larger ones and move servations of the solar wind-magnetosphere around them. Every body moves around

interaction [1, 2 and references therein]. It its source, driven by its outer 3D-spirally-

### (3-8) Large-scale gravitational quantization states in galaxies and the Universe

F. Potter and H. G. Preston

plication to the Solar System reveals that gr-qc/0405025. the enormous angular momentum in the

Recent observations continue to chal- Oort Cloud determines the allowed equiliblenge our understanding of the universe, rium orbital spacings of the planets! At a with some results perhaps suggesting that larger scale, from galaxy quantization states there may be quantization behavior in its calculated from the known baryonic matlarge-scale systems. We accept the chal- ter, we derive (1) the galaxy disk rotation lenge by discussing the key concepts and velocity v = GM2/L without requiring predictions of an alternative explanation, dark matter, (2) the baryonic Tully-Fisher our proposed theory of large-scale gravi- relation. (3) the MOND acceleration paratational quantization that predicts quanti- meter, (4) the large angles for gravitational zation states in solar systems, in galaxies, lensing results, etc. The theory predicted and in galaxy clusters, and describes some our Galaxy's halo stream of stars moving aspects of the present state of the accel- at one-half the disk velocity, halo stars that erating universe. This theory is not the are in a different quantization state than the quantum gravity which would apply at the disk stars. Using the interior metric approx-Planck scale, but instead a theory for guan- imation, we derive a new Hubble relation tization in large gravitationally-bound sys- that accounts for the acceleration of distant tems. Our only assumption is the sim- galaxies and allows us to achieve a reasonple replacement of Planck's constant  $\hbar$  in a able estimate of the energy density of the Schrödinger-like equation by the ratio H = vacuum with only a 5% matter density, sug-L/M of the total angular momentum to the gesting that the total matter/energy density total mass of the bound system, an equa- of the universe is at the critical density. A tion which can be derived also from the possible laboratory test might be the sensgeneral relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equa- ing of equilibrium distances for a torsion tion and appropriate approximations. In the bar near a spinning mass or the drift of a Schwarzschild metric the approximate solu- satellite toward an equilibrium orbital rations mimic hydrogen wave functions. Ap- dius. Many details are at gr-gc/0303112 and

# (3-3) The Yilmaz cosmology

#### M. Ibison

uations in which GR predicts frame drag- sented by its author is flawed. ging, nor has it been applied to Cosmology.

cover the Yilmaz theory is consistent with more successful. this metric only in a universe where the total

A central claim of the Yilmaz theory is energy density and pressure are zero, and, that there exists a proper, localizable stress- in particular, the total mass energy density energy tensor for the gravitational field, and is zero. We also consider the predictions which acts as a source in the Einstein equa- of the theory in the case of a steady state tion. The theory has been characterized in universe, i.e. wherein matter and radiation the literature by its prediction of an 'ex- are assumed generated at a rate sufficient to ponential metric' (in isotropic coordinates) maintain a constant density. Here we disfor a singular mass point. This metric cover the Yilmaz theory is consistent only agrees with the GR Schwarzschild metric with a universe in which there is a constant only up to second order in 1/r, though this negative total pressure, but once again the is enough to guarantee agreement with GR total energy density and pressure must be up to the current observational precision. zero, and, in particular, the total mass en-More generally, the Yilmaz theory has not, ergy density must be zero. Since these outallegedly, been refuted by observation. That comes are obviously at variance with obsersaid, the theory has not been applied to sit- vation, it is concluded that the theory as pre-

We offer some reasons to suggest that Here we consider the latter case, assum- a variant of the theory, wherein the (aling a priori the usual FLRW metric with leged) gravitational stress-energy tensor apzero spatial curvature to generate the two pears with a different weight relative to the Friedmann equations for the theory. We dis- (traditional) matter-energy tensor, may be

# (3-13) Electromagnetic self-consistency, the zero-point field, and the cosmic microwave background in the steady-state cosmology

#### M. Ibison

out that the ZPF is the unique EM field direct-action theory as the result of abwhose energy spectrum is independent of sorbers on the future, but not the past, light cosmological time. We investigate the cone. In particular we suggest that the zero novel interpretation that this field is the re- Kelvin state may be associated with accelsult of electromagnetic self-consistency be- eration of charges producing equal amounts tween charges moving on the geodesics in of advanced and retarded 'radiation fields' conformity with the cosmological expan- associated with the absence of absorption sion. Several interesting implications fol- on both the past and future light cones. Furlow: Consistency between the fields and ther, we observe that the Wheeler-Feynman matter gives rise to an eigenvalue problem mechanism may also fail for a limited specwherein the eigenvalues are the masses of tral range, this time due to the presence of the charges. For a uniform distribution full absorption on both the past and future of matter the calculation derives the Dirac light cones. We discuss the possibility that Large Number relation between the elec- the Cosmic Microwave Background is this tron mass and the Hubble radius. Further, minimal self-consistent field. That is, we deviations from uniformity affect the mass consider the possibility that the CMB comin such a way as to generate gravitational at- prises both advanced and retarded fields, traction, at least (for the present state of de- and that its spectral signature is not the revelopment of the theory) in accord with the sult thermalization in the usual sense, but, Newtonian theory. We find that this picture in the context of the steady-state theory, is however, is consistent only with the direct- a requirement for self-consistency imposed action implementation of EM. It leads us by the time-symmetry of past and future abto reconsider the absorber hypothesis of sorbers. Wheeler and Feynman - which explains

In the Friedmann Cosmologies we point the emergence of retarded radiation in the

# (3-9) The parametric light-matter interactions in astrophysics.

#### J. Moret-Bailly

ture (100 000 K), provided that a sufficient which are blueshifted. density limits the ionisation, or by a Lyman ing to a very complicated spectrum which objects (dark matter, ...). has exactly the characteristics of a guasar

The parametric (coherent) light-matter spectrum. The complexity of the spectrum interactions (refraction, photon echoes, is, in particular, a consequence of an inphase conjugation mirrors, photon splitting, stability due to the coupling of the Lyman ...) are strong effects which transfer en- alpha absorption with the frequency shift ergy and (or) momenta without quantifica- it provides through the CREIL in the protion if the matter returns to its initial state. duced H\*. Thus, the periodicity of redshifts While these effects are commonly studied z = 0.062 observed by several authors rein the labs, they are ignored in astrophysics sults from the spectroscopy of hydrogen. (except refraction) because they require un- The proximity of a hot source (quasar) procommon conditions. However, atomic hy- duces H\*, so that the objects close to a drogen in its states 2S or 3P (called H\*) is quasar appear anomalously redshifted. The able to "catalyse" transfers of energy from transfers of energy to and inside the low frebeams of ordinary light which have a high quencies produces a thermal, isotropic radi-Planck's temperature (given by Planck's ation whose temperature may reach several blackbody law) to colder beams, producing hundreds of kelvins close to bright, much frequency shifts, Being coherent, the effect redshifted objects. The CREIL in the phoimproperly called "Coherent Raman Effect tosphere of the Sun explains the fraction of on Incoherent Light" (CREIL), does not the redshift proportional to the path of the blur the images, and the relative frequency light in this region. The Pioneer 10 and 11 shifts are constant if the dispersions of the probes have reached a region of the space spectroscopic parameters are neglected. H<sup>\*</sup> where the protons and electrons of the solar may be found if hydrogen is heated enough wind are cold enough to combine, producto become atomic  $(T > 10000 \,\mathrm{K})$ , then ing some H\* which allows a transfer of enexcited either by a much higher tempera- ergy from the solar light to the radiowaces

Using the CREIL, an elementary optical alpha pumping. These conditions are ful- effect, explains a lot of observations, avoidfilled close to accreting neutron stars, lead- ing the introduction of strange theories and

- 7. O. K. Manuel & G. Hwaung, Meteoritics 18 (1983) 209.
- 8. O. Manuel, W. A. Myers, Y. Singh & M. Pleess, J. Fusion Energy 23 (2005) 55.
- 9. O. Manuel & C. Bolon, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 251 (2002) 381-385.
- 10. A. Wolszczan, Science 264 (1994) 538.
- 11. J. K. Tuli, Nuclear Wallet Cards, 6<sup>th</sup> ed. (Brookhaven National Laboratory, National Nuclear Data Center, Upton, NY, USA, 2000) 74 pp.
- 12. O. Manuel, E. Miller & A. Katragada, J. Fusion Energy 20 (2003) 197.

# (3-12) Low-energy quantum gravity leads to another picture of the Universe

### M. A. Ivanov

of cosmological redshift would be caused quickly than the geometrical one. by interactions of photons with gravitons. One of them is defined by maximum ex- anomaly. isting temperatures of remote sources - by

If gravitons are super-strong interacting big enough distances, all of them will be particles and the low-temperature graviton masked with the CMB radiation. Anbackground exists, the basic cosmological other, and much smaller, one depends on conjecture about the Dopplerian nature of their maximum luminosity - the luminosredshifts may be false: a full magnitude ity distance increases with a redshift much

If the considered quantum mechanism Non-forehead collisions with gravitons will of classical gravity is realized in the nalead to a very specific additional relaxation ture, than an existence of black holes conof any photonic flux that gives a possibil- tradicts to the equivalence principle. In this ity of another interpretation of supernovae approach, the two fundamental constants la data - without any kinematics. These Hubble's and Newton's ones - should be facts may implicate a necessity to change connected between themselves. The theothe standard cosmological paradigm. Some retical value of the Hubble constant is comfeatures of a new paradigm are discussed. puted. Also, every massive body would be In a frame of this model, every observer decelerated due to collisions with gravitons has two different cosmological horizons. that may be connected with the Pioneer 10

### (1-3) Was there a decelerating past for the **Universe**?

#### M. V. John

The recent apparent magnitude-redshift perform the analysis by expanding the scale edge of the physical world now remains de- explain them. ficient since no tested theory involves such ric. This cosmographic approach is histor- mology. ically the original one in cosmology. We

data of Type Ia supernovae seem to bring in factor into a fifth-order polynomial, an asa paradigm shift in cosmology since these sumption that can be further generalised to data indicate that the suspected dark energy any order. The present expansion rates h, in the universe can no longer be regarded as  $q_0, r_2$  etc. are evaluated by computing the a cosmological constant of general relativis- marginal likelihoods for these parameters. tic origin or as the vacuum energy encoun- These values are relevant, since any cosmotered in quantum field theories. Our knowl- logical solution would ultimately need to

Using this method, we also address an a dark energy. Under this circumstance, an important question relevant to cosmology: equation of state of the form  $p = w\rho$  is Was there a decelerating past for the uninot well motivated and one is unable to use verse? To answer this, the Bayes's probathe Einstein equation in this case as well. bility theory is employed, which is the most This major gap in our understanding of the appropriate tool for quantifying our knowldensity components in the universe and the edge when it changes through the acquisiequations of state obeyed by them leaves tion of new data. The cosmographic apthe solution of the Einstein equation specu- proach helps to sort out models which were latory to a great extent. The explanation of always accelerating from those which deall other cosmological observations needs celerated for at least some time in the pethis solution, as it describes the expansion riod of interest. Bayesian model compariof the background spacetime. We argue that son technique is used to discriminate these the reasonable remaining option is to make rival hypotheses with the aid of recent rea model-independent analysis of SNe data, leases of supernova data. We also attempt without reference to the energy densities. In to provide and improve another example this basically kinematic approach (John, M. of Bayesian model comparison, performed V. 2004, ApJ, 614, 1), we limit ourselves to between some Friedman models, using the the observationally justifiable assumptions same data. It is argued that the lessons of homogeneity and isotropy, i.e., to the as- learnt using Bayesian theory are extremely sumption that the universe has a RW met- valuable to avoid frequent U-turns in cos-

### (P-2) Isotopes tell Sun's origin and operation

#### O. K. Manuel

Sun.

ets formed out of highly radioactive, poorly teorites. mixed debris of a supernova that exploded that the Sun acts as a huge plasma diffuser supernova [12]:

Measurements of isotope abundances that selectively moves lightweight elements and masses offer these conclusions on the and isotopes of each element to its surface. Iron is the most abundant element in the Abundances: The Sun and its plan- Sun, in rocky planets and in ordinary me-

Masses: Fusion cannot be the main 5 Gy ago. This conclusion is based on source of luminosity in the Sun and Sunmeasurements of a) the decay products of like stars. The most abundant isotope of actinide elements (<sup>235,238</sup>U, <sup>244</sup>Pu) [1] and iron, <sup>56</sup>Fe, has tightly bound nucleons, and short-lived isotopes in meteorites and in the abundances of other elements in the Sun Earth [2,3], b) residual excesses in mete- correlate with nuclear stability [9]. The orites of stable isotopes made by the  $\alpha$ -, r-, discovery of rocky planets orbiting pulsar, p- and s-processes of stellar nucleosynthe- PSR 1257 + 12 [10], and systematic propsis [4], c) excess  ${}^{16}O$  [5] and excess  ${}^{136}Xe$  erties in the rest masses of the 2.850 known [6] in the Sun itself, and d) linked chem- nuclides [11] suggest that neutron repulsion ical and isotopic heterogeneities preserved drives solar luminosity, solar mass separain meteorites and planets [4]. Measure- tion, solar neutrinos, and the H-rich solar ments on 22 atoms in the solar wind [7] and wind leaving the surface of an Fe-rich ob-72 s-products in the photosphere [8] show ject that formed on the collapsed core of a

- Neutron emission from the solar core:  $< n > \rightarrow n + 10-22$  MeV
- Neutron decay:  $n \rightarrow H^+ + e^- + anti \nu + 0.782$  MeV
- H<sup>+</sup> upward migration and fusion:  $4_1^{1}$ H<sup>+</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow 2^{4}$ He<sup>++</sup> + 2 $\nu$  + 27 MeV
- H<sup>+</sup> that reaches the surface:  $2.7 \times 10^{43}$  H<sup>+</sup>/vr  $\rightarrow$  Departs in the solar wind

### References

1.	P. K. Kuroda & W. A. Myers, <i>Radiochim- ica Acta</i> 64 (1994) 167.	4.	J. T. Lee, B. Li & O. K. Manuel, <i>Comments Astrophys.</i> <b>18</b> (1997) 335.
2.	J. H. Reynolds, <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> <b>4</b> (1960) 8, 351.	5.	K. Hashizume & M. Chaussidon, <i>Nature</i> <b>434</b> (2005) 619.
3.	M. S. Boulos & O. K. Manuel, <i>Science</i> <b>174</b> (1971) 1334.	6.	D. D. Sabu & O. K. Manuel, <i>Nature</i> <b>262</b> (1976) 28.

# (1-2) Research on candidates to non-cosmological redshifts

#### M. López-Corredoira

still without a clear solution and is surprisitous associations in which background ob- to take part in this kind of event. jects are close in the sky to a foreground

The paradox of apparent optical as- galaxy, although the statistical mean corresociations of galaxies with very different lations remain to be explained, and some redshifts, the so-called anomalous redshift lone objects have very small probabilities of problem, is around 35 years old, but is being a projection of background objects.

The sample of discordant redshift assoingly ignored by most of the astronomical ciations given in Arp's atlas is indeed quite community. Statistical correlations among large, and most of the objects remain to be the positions of these galaxies have been analyzed deeply. For about 5 years, we have pointed out by several authors, especially been running a project to observe some of for QSOs with galaxies. Gravitational lens- these cases in detail, and some new anoming by dark matter has been proposed as the alies were added to those already known. cause of these correlations, although this For instance, in some exotic configurations seems to be insufficient to explain them, like NGC 7603 or NEQ3, which can even and it cannot work at all for the correla- show bridges connecting four object with tions with the brightest and nearest galax- very different redshifts. Not only OSOs but ies. Some of these cases may be just fortu- emission-line galaxies in general are found

# (3-10) Quantum-redshift: explanation of the Hubble law by non-linear optics

### C. Jooss and J. Lutz

light hypothesis. But Zwicky's theory was rejected with the argument, interaction with particles on the way of the light would lead to fuzzy pictures of distant objects, which is not the case.

In this paper we present a simple model for the energy loss of a photon during his travel which origins from non-linear optics. The model just assumes that the harmonic oscillator model of light has to be extended somewhat by an extremely small anharmonic contribution. This assumption seems to be very natural, since the model of a harmonic oscillator represents an idealization in the theory which is not perfectly realized in nature. An indirect proof of this model comes from standard laboratory experiments with non-linear optical media. where the anharmonicity is much stronger. pansion of space occurs and no big bang is There, the mechanism of parametric down necessary.

The hypothesis that the increase of the conversion is applied to split a photon with redshift of optical line spectra with the dis- Energy E into two or more fractions. In tance of the emitting source is due to the ex- such quantum optical experiments also the pansion of the universe, is one of the most interaction of photons with the zero-point important arguments of the big bang theory. radiation field is demonstrated via paramet-However, E. Hubble did not support this ric amplification. The proof of the presence opinion. An alternative explanation was of the zero-point radiation field implies that given by F. Zwicky in 1929 with the tired the assumption of the travel of photons in an empty space is wrong.

> Applying these well-established results of non-linear and quantum optics to the long distance travel of photons, the mechanism of parametric amplification represents a natural explanation of cosmological redshift. It results in a thermalization of photons and thus in the presence of a thermal radiation as it is observed with the microwave background radiation. Our model allows explaining further anomalies, such as the observed deviations from the linear Hubble law or the dependence of the redshift on the light intensity as observed by Finlay-Freundlich.

> As a consequence of the explanation of the Hubble law by quantum optics, no ex-

### (P-1) The evolution of the Universe in the light of modern microscopic and high-energy physics

### C. Jooss and J. Lutz

In the recent decades, experimental and more structures of matter in the cosmos ingly smaller length scales. Quantum-fielda quantum aether was already introduced time. by Paul Dirac in the 1930ties. The huge progress of experimental investigation of quantum liquids in laboratories has brought up a new connection between condensed matter physics and high energy physics which shows that baryonic and leptonic particles are nothing as stable excitations of a new aether with properties similar to quantum liquids (Fig. 1). This insight has deep impact for the understanding of evolution processes of matter in the universe on macroscopic and microscopic scales which turn out to be strongly connected.



Figure 1: Continuous matter beyond the level of particles. According to quantum field theory all particles and interactions are instable and stable excitations similar to the excitations of a quantum liquid.

The evolution processes on the level of physics brought up the discovery of more stars is already well known and their relation to the fusion of heavy elements and the on increasingly larger but also on increas- evolution processes of atomic nuclei on the micro-scale are well established. But asand high-energy-particle-physics both show tronomy shows also an evolution process of that below the level of "elementary parti- galaxies (Fig. 2). This evolution process cles", a qualitatively new kind of contin- contradicts the big bang theory which posuous matter is present. The concept of tulates a creation of all galaxies at the same



Figure 2: Evolution of Galaxies, based on assumptions of V. Ambarzumian, extended with new facts from astronomic observations. Active states of giant Galaxies eject matter in continuous and in explosive form, new galaxies are formed, active in the first state (Seyfert state). Merge of galaxies form new giant Galaxies.

During their evolution, huge galaxies enter into a state with a highly active galaxy core. This state is related to the ejection of matter which in turn can form pre-states of new galaxies. The assumption of "black hole like singularities" as driving force in active galaxies is much too primitive. Based on the concept of particles as topological defects in the aether quantum liquid, we suggest a model for the core of active galaxy nuclei: Similar to the compression of atoms to nuclear matter in a neutron star,

# (1-11) Spectroscopic constraints on the cosmological variability of the fine-structure constant

#### S. Levshakov

of the fine-structure constant, alpha. Pre- sented. dicted oscillations of alpha, if  $(dR_{ex}/dt)$  is

The dependence of fundamental phys- not equal zero, require accurate measureical constants on cosmic time is predicted ment of  $(d\alpha/\alpha)$  at each space-time coordiby modern theories of fundamental interac- nate. We have developed a method for probtions, such as super-string theories and cos- ing such oscillations of alpha from pairs of mologies with compactified extra spatial di- FeII lines. The method provides an accumensions. Changes in the sizes of the extra racy for a single absorber comparable to dimensions,  $R_{ex}$ , can be detected through that of ensemble averages obtained in prevariations of coupling strengths and masses vious estimations from numerous absorbers in our low energy 4-D world. Spectral distributed over a wide range of redshifts. observations of distant quasars provide a Newest measurements of  $(d\alpha/\alpha)$  based on framework for measuring time variations the VLT/UVES archive data will be pre-

### (3-2) An overview of plasma cosmology

E. J. Lerner

ing premises:

Since the universe is nearly all plasma, gravitational collapse. electromagnetic forces are comparable in importance with gravitation.

for us as well as for Galileo.

cause.

at the pace assumed by the Big Bang

explain as mush of the universe as possi- amounts of D and <sup>7</sup>Li. ble using known physics, before resorting to "new physics."

are challenging the Big Bang.

Plasma cosmology, which assumes no scale structures, and predicts a fractal disorigin in time for the universe and no tribution of matter with density being inhot, ultradense phase of universal evolution, versely proportional to the distance of sepuses the known laws of electromagnetism aration of objects. This relation flows natand the phenomena of plasma behavior to urally from the necessity for collapsed obexplain the main features of the universe. jects to be collision, and from the scale in-Plasma cosmology is based on the follow-variance of the critical velocities of magnetic vortex filaments, which are crucial to

The predictions of the Big bang theory for the abundance of <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li and D The same basic physical processes exist are more than  $7\sigma$  from the data for any ason earth as in the rest of the universe. The sumed density of baryons. In contrast, the link between laboratory and cosmos exists predictions of the plasma alternative have held up remarkably well. Plasma filamenta-Since we never see effect with cause, we tion theory allows the prediction of the mass have no reason to assume an origin in time of condensed objects formed as a function for the universe, which is an effect with a of density. This leads to predictions of the formation of large numbers of interme-Since we see evolution in every part of diate mass stars during the formations of the universe, we can assume that the uni- galaxies. These stars produce and emit to verse itself is evolving, but not necessarily the environment large amounts of  ${}^{4}$ He, but very little C, N and O. In addition cosmic Finally, plasma cosmology takes the rays from these stars can produce by collimethodological stance that we should try to sions with ambient H and him the observed

The observed preferred direction in the background anisotropy completely contra-From these premises, plasma cosmol- dicts Big Bang assumptions. The plasma ogy has been able to develop theories that alternative views the energy for the CBR can explain many of the observations that as provided by the radiation released by early generations of stars in the course Observations of voids in the distribution of producing the observed <sup>4</sup>He. The enof galaxies that are in excess of 100 Mpc ergy is thermalized and isotropized by a in diameter, imply an age for these struc- thicket of dense, magnetically confined tures that is at least triple and more likely plasma filaments that pervade the intersix times the hypothesized time since the galactic medium. The model can explain Big Bang. The plasma cosmology approach the observed anisotropies in the CBR and can, however, easily accommodate large this alignment with the Local Supercluster.

a new extremely dense, high energy state of cal phase transition in the aether quantum level of galaxies. liquid at the location of the galaxy core. in jets.

Consequently, a connection between baryonic and leptonic particles develops in macroscopic and microscopic evolution the core of galaxies. It is related to a lo-processes of matter is also present on the

The acceptance of an aether-like con-After a certain critical mass (or energy) is tiuum beyond the level of particles, introexceeded, the particles lose their properties duced already by Paul Dirac, leads to an as massive and stable topological objects of natural explanation of the redshift of distant the quantum liquid. They evaporate and the galaxies as result of non-linear optics. No superdense core of the galaxy becomes in- expansion of space an no big bang is necesstable towards the ejection low energy par- sary. The universe is infinite and a rich variticles and hydrogen out of the dense region ety of evolutionary processes are present on all length scales of matter.

# (1-4) New analysis of observed high redshift Supernovae data show no time dilation when fitted to restframe templates where the restframe template timescale is not dilated

#### S. P. Leaning

The SNe 1A data to date has been within the same error margins as those that shown to compare favourably with a time are fitted to dilated templates. dilation in line with those predicted by an expanding universe. However it is also true that these best fitting methods used to date, only test for dilation, and at no time test for results against a non dilated template. The incorrect conclusion from these papers is that a good fit to the data rules out any ting procedure. Whereas in fact the same no dilation of SN lightcurves is present and to be supported by this data.

In this paper the HST and groundbased data from 11 high redshift supernovae at z = 0.36 - 0.86 are fitted to undilated restframe composite lightcurves made from Supernova Cosmology project data.

The conclusion is that high redshift SNe similar results possible for a non dilated fit- 1A data can be shown to exhibit no time dilation within the same error margins as high redshift data can be fitted to non di- those of previous time dilated fittings and a lated templates and give results that show non expanding universe can still be shown

# (1-6) Is the universe expanding? Tests of physical geometry

### E. J. Lerner

non-expanding and Friedman-Robertson- ble relationship. Walker FRW ( $\Omega = 1$ ) Big Bang geometo angular radius at a given luminosity are explanation for the observations. similarly divergent. We here compare the same at-galaxy wavelengths in the UV. The model.

Recent galaxy data from Hubble UDF data allows us not only to distinguish beand HDF combined with comparison low tween expanding and non-expanding modand medium-z survey data make possi- els, but also to test various non-Big-Bang ble a definitive test between the Euclidean formulae for and explanations of the Hub-

The same data allows tests of the Big tries as the appropriate physical geometry Bang hypothesis that the predicted surat cosmological scale. This is possible due face brightness scaling does not hold beto divergent predictions of surface bright- cause high-z galaxies are in actuality much ness (SB) and the angular size of objects smaller and have much higher intrinsic surwith increasing distance. FRW predicts that face brightness than existing galaxies. We for a given absolute luminosity, SB scales look at limits on UV surface brightness, UV as  $(z+1)^{-3}$  when measured in photons/s, extinction, ratios of stellar to gravitating while the non-expanding model predicts a mass, and L/M. These comparisons can constant SB. As a corollary, predictions as rule out or confirm the evolutionary FRW

Finally we further test the nonobserved surface brightness and angular ra- expanding hypothesis against Type 1a sudius values for matched samples at red- pernovae data. Although this pure luminosshifts up to 6 from the Goods and HUDF ity data does not well distinguish among fields with the low redshift samples from various models, consistency with this data GALEX. All samples are observed in the is necessary for a successful geometric